

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/400,583	09/22/1999	FREDERICK D. BUSCHE	CR9-99-049	3571 ;	
75	590 04/25/2002				
GREGORY M DOUDNIKOFF			EXAMINER		
IBM CORPORATION DEPT T81/062 3039 CORNWALLIS ROAD			KEMPER, M	KEMPER, MELANIE A	
RTP, NC 2770)9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

h

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/400,583	BUSCHE ET AL.				
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	M Kemper	2165				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	•					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	Sentember 1000					
	nis action is non-final.					
<u>'</u>		resecution as to the morits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<u></u>					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Carrinior.					
_	n priority under 25 LLC C & 110/a) (d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received					
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/400,583

Art Unit: 2165

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 6-15, 21-27, 29,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hughes et al., patent number 5,920,261.

Hughes et al. teaches determining data relationships including determining locations of products, recording paths of customers, identifying products chosen for purchase, and associating the locations of products with the paths of customers as claimed (see at least col. 1, line 40 – col. 2, line 55, col. 6, line 1 – col. 60, col. 12, lines 1-20, col. 13, lines 25-55, col. 15, lines 15-50, col. 16, line 40 – col. 17, line 50, col. 18, lines 15-40, col. 20, lines 25-65).

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/400,583

Art Unit: 2165

4. Claims 1-5, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes et al., patent number 5,920,261 in view of Abell, WO 98/38589.

Hughes substantially teaches the invention as shown above, but does not teach identifying customers within a retail space. Abell teaches identifying customers within a retail space (see at least pp. 3-6, 9-10, 21). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the customer identification of Abell in the system of Hughes since the customer identification would have aided in the floor plan of items of Hughes to plan the location of items in the store based upon the customers or class of customer who is most likely to see an item as suggested by Abell.

5. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes, patent number 5,920,261 in view of Fano, patent number 6,317,718.

Hughes substantially teaches the invention as shown above, but does not teach use of a gps. Fano teaches determining shopper location using gps (see at least abstract, col. 2, lines 20-40). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the gps of Fano in the location determination of Hughes since the gps receiver offers the advantage of not requiring special equipment over the store as suggested by Fano or since the gps would have offered user assistance in identifying locations of desired items.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Kemper whose telephone number is 703-305-9589. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:30).

Art Unit: 2165

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn W. Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

M. Kemper

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2165

MK

April 22, 2002